



UNIVERSITY OF  
MARYLAND

*Department of Fire Protection Engineering*



# Literature Review: Hybrid Water Mist Fire Extinguishment Systems

Michael J. Gollner

Assistant Professor, University of Maryland

Peter Raia

Undergraduate Student, University of Maryland

Project Supported By:



THE  
FIRE PROTECTION  
RESEARCH FOUNDATION



- Two systems have recently become commercially available
- Systems utilize inert gas and water mist (~100 micron drops) to extinguish fires



*Figure 1: Two examples of hybrid water mist systems currently on the market. (left) Victaulic Vortex system discharging. (right) ANSUL Aquasonic system discharging.*



# Hybrid Water Mist Systems



- No NFPA Standard covers Hybrid Systems
- Only available guidance is FM 5580 – an approval standard for hybrid systems
- NFPA Standards Council asked for a literature review
  - Should Hybrid Systems be a new standard?
  - Added to NFPA 2001?
  - Added to NFPA 750?
  - No action?

---

*FM Approvals, FM 5580: Approval Standard for Hybrid (Water and Inert Gas) Fire Extinguishing Systems, Factory Mutual Global, 2012.*



# REVIEW OF WATER MIST, CLEAN AGENT & HYBRID SYSTEMS



# Clean Agent Suppression Systems



- Introduced in the 1930's for military aviation/maritime applications
  - Highly toxic agents used
  - US Army Research introduced safer Halons
- 1989 – Montreal Protocol banned Halons
  - Ozone depleting gas
- 1991 – NFPA 2001 was formed to cover a wide range of clean agent suppression systems

---

*NFPA 2001 Standard on Clean Agent Extinguishing Systems*, Quincy, MA: National Fire Protection Association , 2011.



# NFPA 2001



- Covers the design, installation, maintenance and operation of clean agent systems
- Minimum design specifications provided for Class A, B, C fires as well as safety factors
- Primarily gas-phase extinguishment
  - Extinction mechanism: depleted oxygen levels
  - Oxygen < 15% by displacement of air

---

*NFPA 2001 Standard on Clean Agent Extinguishing Systems*, Quincy, MA: National Fire Protection Association, 2011.



# Water Mist Systems



- Introduced in 1940's for maritime applications
- New interest after 1989 Montreal Protocol
- Droplets < 1000 micron mean diameter
  - Droplets entrained into fire plume
  - Gas phase cooling achieved by droplet evaporation
  - Secondary effect of oxygen displacement through evaporation
  - Large droplet surface area = very effective operation

---

• Z. Liu and A. K. Kim , "A Review of Water Mist Fire Suppression Systems- Fundamental Studies," *Journal of Fire Protection Engineering*, pp. 1-50, 1999.

• J. Mawhinney and J. Richardson , "A Review of Water Mist Fire Suppression Research and Development, 1996," *Fire Technology: First Quarter* , pp. 54-90, 1996

- Introduced in 1996 after a strong industry demand for a standard
- Provides design objectives, fire test protocols, documentation, system acceptance criteria
- Test protocols designed around the hazard or occupancy of the structure



*Figure 2: Example of Water Mist System Discharge (Marrioff HI-Fog System)*





# NFPA 750



- NFPA 750 covers design objectives, fire test protocols, documentation, system acceptance, system maintenance and marine systems
- 5 performance objectives: fire extinguishment, fire suppression, fire control, temperature control, and exposure control
- Limitations typically due to the reactive properties of water with certain materials

---

*NFPA 750: STANDARD ON WATER MIST FIRE PROTECTION SYSTEMS*



# Hybrid Water Mist Systems



- Combine water mist and inert gas to achieve gas-phase extinguishment
- 1996 – US Navy performed combined halocarbon/mist tests aboard ships
- Very little additional data available except for new FM Approval Standard for Hybrid Water Mist Extinguishing Systems – FM 5580
- Goal of system is combined cooling/inerting extinguishment in the gas phase.

---

*Forssell, E. W.; Scheffey, J. L.; DiNunno, P. J.; Back, G. G.; Farley, J. P.; Williams, F. W. ,“False Deck Development Testing of Hybrid Nitrogen – Water Mist Fire Suppression Systems” NIST SP 984-2; NIST Special Publication 984-2; Halon Options Technical Working Conference, 14th. Proceedings. May 4-6, 2004, Albuquerque, NM, 1-13 pp, 2004.*



# **FIRE TESTING AND FM 5580**

FM Approvals Standard for Hybrid (Water and Inert Gas) Fire Extinguishing Systems



# FM 5580



- FM performed tests to determine if Hybrid systems are unique from other listed applications
  - Performed special enclosure fire testing
  - Determined Hybrid deserves separate listing
- Oxygen concentration at extinction was determining factor
- FM 5580 provides 9 applications, each with specific test protocols
  - Approval standard only



# FM Fire Testing



- FM performed tests to distinguish between:
  - Twin-fluid water mist – gas only atomizes
  - Inert gas systems – extinguish due to inerting
  - Hybrid systems – water & gas contribute
- Enclosure fire testing and numerical modeling
  - Well stirred reactor numerical model
  - Applies to total-flooding applications only
- Distinguishing factor needed to classify systems

---

H.-Z. Yu, "Introducing the New FM Approvals Standard Class 5580 Hybrid (Water and Inert Gas) Fire Extinguishing Systems," 9th International Water Mist Conference, 23rd & 24th September 2009, London, UK, 2009



# FM Enclosure Fire Tests



- a 260 m<sup>3</sup> enclosure with a 0.9 m wide by 2.2 m high door opening
  - 1 MW enclosed diesel fire (test D3.2)
  - 2 MW open diesel fire (test D3.4)
  - 1 MW enclosed heptane fire (test E3.2)
  - 2 MW open heptane fire (test E3.4).
- The fires were given an average pre-burn time of around 20 seconds

---

H.-Z. Yu, "Introducing the New FM Approvals Standard Class 5580 Hybrid (Water and Inert Gas) Fire Extinguishing Systems," 9th International Water Mist Conference, 23rd & 24th September 2009, London, UK, 2009



# FM Fire Test Results



Test	Mist & Nitrogen				Hypothetical (N2 Only)		Hypothetical (Mist Only)	
	Reported Fire Extinction Time (s)	Predicted Fire Extinction Time (s)	Measured O <sub>2</sub> Concentration at Fire Extinction	Predicted O <sub>2</sub> Concentration at Fire Extinction (%)	Predicted Fire Extinction time (s)	Predicted O <sub>2</sub> Concentration at fire Extinction (%)	Predicted Fire Extinction Time (s)	Predicted Concentration At Fire Extinction (%)
<b>D3.2</b>	125	101	No data	14.6 (dry based)	113	12.3 (dry based)	124	16.1 (dry based)
				12.3 (wet based)		12.0 (wet based)		12.5 (wet based)
<b>D3.4</b>	65	73	No data	14.0 (dry based)	84	12.5 (dry based)	88	14.9 (dry based)
				12.2 (wet based)				12.0 (wet based)
<b>E3.2</b>	173	100	14.4 (dry based)	14.6 (dry based)	112	12.4 (dry based)	122	16.2 (dry based)
				12.3 (wet based)				12.0 (wet based)
<b>E3.4</b>	86	72	13.5 (dry based)	14.1 (dry based)	83	12.6 (dry based)	87	14.9 (dry based)
				12.3 (wet based)				12.1 (wet based)

H.-Z. Yu, "Introducing the New FM Approvals Standard Class 5580 Hybrid (Water and Inert Gas) Fire Extinguishing Systems," 9th International Water Mist Conference, 23rd & 24th September 2009, London, UK, 2009



# Fire Test Results



- Traditional Water Mist
  - Dry-based O<sub>2</sub> 14.9% – 16.2% at extinguishment
  - Small degree of O<sub>2</sub> displacement
- Hybrid Systems
  - Dry-based O<sub>2</sub> 12.4% – 12.6%
  - Lowered O<sub>2</sub> and water work together
- Gaseous Systems
  - Dry-based O<sub>2</sub> 12.3% – 12.6%
  - Low O<sub>2</sub> levels provide for extinguishment

---

H.-Z. Yu, "Introducing the New FM Approvals Standard Class 5580 Hybrid (Water and Inert Gas) Fire Extinguishing Systems," 9th International Water Mist Conference, 23rd & 24th September 2009, London, UK, 2009





# FM Hybrid Classifying Factor



- Gaseous Extinguishing System
  - Dry Based O<sub>2</sub> level below 12.5% for 1 & 2 MW spray fires
- Twin Fluid System
  - Dry Based O<sub>2</sub> level below 16% for 1 & 2 MW spray fires
- Hybrid System
  - Dry Based O<sub>2</sub> level between 12.5 – 16% for 1 & 2 MW spray fires

---

H.-Z. Yu, "Introducing the New FM Approvals Standard Class 5580 Hybrid (Water and Inert Gas) Fire Extinguishing Systems," 9th International Water Mist Conference, 23rd & 24th September 2009, London, UK, 2009



# FM 5580 Fire Tests



- Machinery in Enclosures with Volumes not Exceeding 2825 ft<sup>3</sup> (80 m<sup>3</sup>)
- Combustion Turbines in Enclosures with Volumes not Exceeding 2825 ft<sup>3</sup> (80 m<sup>3</sup>)
- Protection of Machinery in Enclosures with Volumes Exceeding 9175 ft<sup>3</sup> (260 m<sup>3</sup>)
- Combustion Turbines in Enclosures with Volumes not Exceeding 9175 ft<sup>3</sup> (260 m<sup>3</sup>)

---

*FM Approvals, FM 5580: Appendix B, Approval Standard for Hybrid (Water and Inert Gas) Fire Extinguishing Systems, Factory Mutual Global, 2012.*



# FM 5580 Fire Tests



- Machinery in Enclosures with Volumes Exceeding 9175 ft<sup>3</sup> (260 m<sup>3</sup>)
- Combustion Turbines in Enclosure with Volumes Exceeding 9175 ft<sup>3</sup> (260 m<sup>3</sup>)
- Protection of Computer Room Raised Floors
- Future Applications

---

*FM Approvals, FM 5580: Appendix B, Approval Standard for Hybrid (Water and Inert Gas) Fire Extinguishing Systems, Factory Mutual Global, 2012.*



# Special Protection System Requirements



- Based on Extinguishment Time
  - 0-5 Minutes – Minimum 10 min discharge Required
  - 5-8 Minutes – Minimum 10 min discharge Required
  - Greater than 8 minutes – 20% safety factor Required
- Approval based upon full evaluation of system

---

*FM Approvals, FM 5580: Appendix B, Approval Standard for Hybrid (Water and Inert Gas) Fire Extinguishing Systems, Factory Mutual Global, 2012.*



# FM 5580 Design Considerations



- Hybrid systems differ from traditional systems
  - Suggested Agent Safety Factor
  - Extinguishment Time
  - Discharge Time

Agent	Extinguishment Time	Agent Safety Factor	Discharge/Hold Time
CO <sub>2</sub>	60 seconds	20%	10 minutes
Inert Gas	60 seconds	20-30%	10 minutes
Water Mist	No requirement	No requirement	2X's extinguishment time or 10 minutes
Hybrid Water Mist	0 to 5 minutes	Not required	10 minutes
	5 to 8 minutes	20 %	10 minutes
	Greater than 8 minutes	Not permitted	



# OTHER CONSIDERATIONS



# Hybrid Water Mist Scalability



- Systems on the market can act like an inert gas, water mist or hybrid system.
- Classification depends on mechanisms responsible for extinguishment, not hardware
- While system may work for local applications, it performs in a water mist configuration
  - Only testing available is for total flooding applications



# CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS





# Literature Review Conclusions



- A limited amount of work is available on hybrid systems
  - US Navy and others showed Hybrid's potential
  - FM Global has recently shown differentiation between hybrid and traditional systems
  - This work is only available in 1 public presentation
- FM Global testing shows unique combined gas-phase extinguishment mechanism of hybrid water mist systems.

---

H.-Z. Yu, "Introducing the New FM Approvals Standard Class 5580 Hybrid (Water and Inert Gas) Fire Extinguishing Systems," 9th International Water Mist Conference, 23rd & 24th September 2009, London, UK, 2009



# Literature Review Conclusions



- Hybrid systems may be distinguished by O<sub>2</sub>%
  - Gaseous Extinguishing O<sub>2</sub> < 12.5%
  - Twin Fluid System O<sub>2</sub> < 16%
  - Hybrid System O<sub>2</sub> 12.5% - 16%
  - Dry-based O<sub>2</sub>% at extinction for 1 & 2 MW spray fires in a total flooding configuration
- FM Approvals have adopted FM 5580 as a new approval standard in response

---

H.-Z. Yu, "Introducing the New FM Approvals Standard Class 5580 Hybrid (Water and Inert Gas) Fire Extinguishing Systems," 9th International Water Mist Conference, 23rd & 24th September 2009, London, UK, 2009



# Code Recommendations



- Due to different operating/extinction mechanisms and safety considerations, a new standard/code is necessary
- Options for code adoption
  - New Standalone standard
  - Addendum to NFPA 2001
  - Addendum to NFPA 750
- Note: Before new developments, more data needs to be publicly available



# Code Recommendations



- New code must include separate chapters on system definitions, system design and system inspection, testing, maintenance and training.
  - Currently, these criteria rest only on the manufacturer's specifications, which must be standardized
- Both NFPA 2001 and 750 do not sufficiently provide a standard for a hybrid water mist system without the addition of a dedicated subsection of the existing code



# Code Recommendations



- A standalone code would be cleaner/simpler
  - Addendum would require many stipulations combining total flooding and water mist
  - Approach taken by FM is to create new approval standard
- NFPA 2001 is another possibility for inclusion
  - Simpler than NFPA 750 as it already includes agent safety factor, pressure venting, etc.
  - Water-based considerations would need to be added



# Acknowledgements



## Technical Panel

George Laverick (UL)  
Bob Kasiski (FM Global)  
Zachary Magnone (Tyco)  
Peter Thomas (Victaulic)  
Sandra Stanek (NFPA)

## Special Thanks

Bert Yu (FM Global)  
Amanda Kimball (FPRF)

## Student Project Support



